



DOI:

10.22301/IJHMCR.2528-3189.1726

Article can be accessed online on:
<http://www.ijhmcr.com>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF HEALTH MEDICINE AND
CURRENT RESEARCH**

THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PATELLOFEMORAL PAIN IN MAJMAAH, SAUDI ARABIA

Walaa S. Mohammad^{1,2*} & Walaa M. Elsais³

¹Department of Physical Therapy, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Biomechanics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

³Centre for Health Sciences Research, University of Salford, Manchester, United Kingdom.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 18th Jan, 2020,
Received in revised form
18th March, 2020
Accepted 22th June, 2020
Published online 30th June, 2020

Key words:

Majmaah; Patellofemoral pain
(PFP) syndrome; Risk factors.

***Correspondence to Author:**

Walaa Sayed Mohammad,
College of Applied Medical
Sciences, Majmaah University, Al-
Majmaah, 11952, Saudi Arabia.
Tel: +966-544352884.

E-mail:

w.mohammad@mu.edu.sa

ABSTRACT

Background: Patellofemoral pain (PFP) is frequently described as a clinical knee pain influencing adolescents and physically active adults; however, prevalence and risk factors in a large-scale population is not well established.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of PFP in Majmaah, Saudi Arabia; and to interpret the influence of factors such as age, gender and BMI on the prevalence of PFP.

Materials and Method: Two hundred and forty-eight subjects including males and females were assessed in this study. A cross-sectional study was performed in Majmaah Governorate, and surrounding cities, Saudi Arabia.

Results: The prevalence of PFP was considerably high among females (72.3%), while it was 27.7% among males. Moreover, the age was a significant predictor for PFP [OR=1.04, 95% CI-1.01-1.07], while the gender and BMI were not significant predictors.

Conclusions: Based on these results, PFP is a commonly reported knee condition among adolescents. Apart from gender factor, overweight persons appear to be more liable to develop PFP. Moreover, increasing age is strongly associated with increasing risk of PFP.

Copyright © 2020, Walaa Sayed Mohammad. This is an open access article distributed under the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Walaa S. Mohammad^{1,2*} & Walaa M. Elsais³, 2020 "The Epidemiology Of Patellofemoral Pain In Majmaah, Saudi Arabia", *International Journal Of Health Medicine And Current Research*, 5, (02), 1726-1732.

Introduction

Patellofemoral pain (PFP) is an overuse injury of the knee that frequently affects adolescent and physically active adults, particularly in young women¹. PFP commonly described as pain felt behind or around the patella which subsequently results in limitations of daily living activities³. These symptoms aggravated by lengthy sitting, crouching, kneeling, and stair negotiation⁴. Consequently, PFP could be worse to osteoarthritis of patellofemoral joint^{5, 6}. The causes of PFP are not clearly well-known, however, it may be related to training load, abnormal biomechanics of lower extremity, poor physical level, previous injury, genetics, and psych-social factors⁷. Although it has been reported that PFP is attributed to overuse and trauma⁸; the vast majority of PFP patients have no history of trauma⁵. Additionally, patellofemoral pain has been classified as a multifactorial condition⁹. It could result from the increased quadriceps angle (Q-angle), a disturbed activation pattern of vasti muscles^{10, 11}, overuse of the patellofemoral joint or an increased level of activity¹².

Understanding the contributing factors related to PFP could be of a great value in controlling and preventing such syndrome, however, the prevalence of PFP vary greatly in literature¹³. The incidence of PFP is predominantly documented in teenagers¹⁴. A previous study found that the PFP prevalence may be up to 40%¹⁵. However, the majority of these PFP prevalence studies were limited to athletic population^{16, 17}, military personnel¹, or school children¹⁸. Thus far, a small number of researches have been conducted on general populations. The variations in the prevalence and risk factors for PFP could greatly attribute to variation in populations being investigated and lack of standard assessment^{15, 19}. Previous studies reported that the PFP is supposed to influence a various range of population, particularly adolescents; with higher incidence rates among females^{20, 21}.

Due to its high rate of incidence, prohibition of PFP should be considered. The prevention process includes monitoring the incidence rate and identification of causes linked to PFP. The PFP is a widespread knee problem which has been investigated in many countries. However, no study examined the prevalence of PFP in general Saudi population. The purposes of the present study were to explore the prevalence of PFP in the general Saudi residents and to analyse the influence of factors such as age, gender and BMI on the prevalence of PFP.

Materials and methods

Sample Characteristics

A cross-sectional study was conducted to assess the prevalence and the risk factors of PFP among populations in Majmaah Governorate, and surrounding cities (Al Zulfi, Sudair), Saudi Arabia. Two hundred and forty-eight subjects including males and females were assessed. Before commencing the data collection, each participant read and signed a written informed consent statement approved by the Ethical Review Committee.

Measures

A self-report questionnaire (SNAPPS-Survey), designed by Dey et al.²² was used in the current study. The questionnaire was designed to distinguish between populations with and without PFP. The questionnaire was consisted of four sections. The finding of Dey et al.²² displayed high sensitivity and specificity for sections 1, 2 and 4. Therefore, based on scores from those sections, the data were collected. In section 1, the participants were asked if they experienced pain or serious issue in or around the knee in the past year. If the answer was “no,” the questionnaire would be terminated and submitted, and those participants were assigned to the set of without PFP. The participant would continue answering the remaining two sections if he/she answered “yes”. The total score of each participant was presented

by estimating the summation of marks on sections 2 and 4. A threshold mark of 6 or more was categorised into the group of with PFP. The participant with a score of less than 6 was considered as self-reported knee pain but not PFP²¹. Furthermore, the participant was assigned to set of with PFP if the pain was aggravated by a minimum of 2 tasks of the following activities: lengthy sitting, crouching, kneeling, running, ascending or descending stairs and hopping²⁰.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence and risk factors of PFP were investigated among male and female participants, and the data were analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version 25.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The potential risk factors were analysed using a Chi-square test, or T-Test, as appropriate, to compare the groups. A logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain the effects of age, gender and BMI on the probability that participants have PFP. The

level of significance will be set at $P < 0.05$ for all statistical tests.

Results

Two hundred forty-six responses were received to the study questionnaires. Excluding participants who have self-reported knee pain, 242 participants (184 women and 58 men) from various cities of Saudi Arabia were enrolled in the present study. The mean age, height, mass and BMI of the participants were 27.67 ± 11.00 years, 161.31 ± 8.00 cm, 63.24 ± 15.07 kg, 24.19 ± 5.00 kg/m². Ninety-four of the participants had PFP (38.8%), 68 of them were females and 26 were males (Table 1). The prevalence of PFP was considerably high among females (72.3%), while it was 27.7% among males. To examine the correlation between gender (male as reference), age, and BMI and PFP, logistic regression analysis was conducted. The results showed that age was a significant predictor for PFP, while the gender and BMI were not significant predictors (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographic data for participants with and without PFP and factors associated with PFP

Variable	Females (n = 184)		P value	Males (n = 58)		P value
	With PFP (n = 68)	Without PFP (n = 116)		With PFP (n = 26)	Without PFP (n = 32)	
Age, mean (SD) year	28.12 (11.41)	25.53 (9.03)	0.001*	40.23 (14.37)	24.31 (5.38)	0.001*
<i>Prevalence according to Age group, n (%)</i>						
<19	14 (20.6)	12 (10.3)		0	4 (12.5)	
20-29	32 (47.1)	74 (63.8)		10 (38.5)	26 (81.3)	
30-39	10 (14.7)	18 (15.5)		0	2 (6.3)	
40-49	8 (11.8)	8 (6.9)		8 (30.8)	0	
50-59	4 (5.9)	4 (3.4)		6 (23.1)	0	
+60	0	0		2 (7.7)	0	
Weight, mean (SD) kg	60.88 (11.88)	59.28 (14.99)	0.000*	75.69 (11.87)	72.50 (14.99)	0.014*
Length, mean (SD) cm	157.21 (5.05)	158.95 (6.04)	NS	169.73 (6.96)	171.94 (6.14)	0.000*
BMI, mean (SD) kg/m ²	24.65 (4.84)	23.39 (5.32)	0.000*	26.40 (4.25)	24.36 (4.23)	0.000*

Significant ($P < 0.05$)

NS = Not significant, $p > 0.05$

Table-2. Logistic regression analysis to detect the associated factors with the prevalence of PFP

Risk factors	P	OR	95% CI
Gender	0.098	0.46	0.187-1.153
Age	0.007*	1.04	1.011-1.072
BMI	0.178	1.59	0.810-3.123

Significant ($P < 0.05$)

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio

Discussion

This is the first study investigating the prevalence of PFP among Saudi residents. In the present study, a high prevalence for PFP was found in the community; about 39% of the participants reported PFP, with higher incidence among females compared to males (72.3% vs. 27.7%). The findings of the present study show a higher prevalence compared to the previous investigations^{1, 21, 23, 24}. The discrepancy in the prevalence between the results from the current study and those from previous studies may be contributed to differences in populations studied (e.g. athletes), unlike age group and diagnostic methods. Our results revealed a significant correlation between the gender and the prevalence of PFP, in which females had more potential in developing PFP. These findings supported the hypothesis that the prevalence of PFP would differ significantly between men and women. Several epidemiologic studies have documented a high incidence rate of PFP in women^{1, 21, 23, 25}, however they studied specific populations rather than general populations. This can be attributed to a number of biomechanical and anatomical influences that could maximise the incidence rate of PFP in women compared with men. These factors include the degree of Q-angle, dynamic frontal plane alignment, and lower extremity muscle strength^{1, 25}.

The most interesting findings of the current study was that the highest percentage of the PFP took place in the age group interval of 20-29 years (in both females

and males), followed by the age group interval of 10-19 years (only in females with PFP). In the same context, Witvrouw, Callaghan²⁶ and Callaghan and Selfe¹⁵ reported that the highest prevalence of PFP has been observed in the teenager of 12-17 years. This may be explained by the finding of Verbrugge²⁷ who stated that notifying injuries or disorders at an early age are not acceptable from males, contrary to females who encouraged to take care of her body. The outcomes of this study demonstrated a significant association between age and PFP which in partial agreement with Glaviano, Kew²³ who reported that PFP is experienced by a wide age range with the highest percentage in the age group of 50-59 years and 10-19 years was the fourth-highest percentage. Based on these results, age group, particularly teenager, are important factor to be considered when investigating the PFP.

A number of anthropometric measurements has been examined to identify those at the greatest risk for developing PFP. The findings of the current study show that the weight and BMI were significantly correlated with the prevalence of PFP. This confirms the finding that being overweight was a risk factor of PFP. However, many studies suggested that height, weight and BMI did not impact pain intensity, or the function in patients with PFP and consequently did not considered as a significant risk factor^{25, 28, 29}. These differences may be due to the previous literature limited to a specific gender, age group, and populations. The findings of Hart, Barton³⁰ supported the current study results, as the

authors reported that higher BMI is associated with PFP, but not present in adolescents with PFP. A logistic regression analysis ascertains that age was correlated with the prevalence of PFP; however, gender and BMI were not associated. Our data show that increasing age by 1 year increased the PFP prevalence by 1.04%. Although, many papers have been conducted to identify the influences affecting the prevalence of PFP^{1, 26, 31, 32}, there is no consensus in the literature about the factors contributing to PFP. This may be attributed to the multifactorial aetiology of the PFP^{25, 31}. Therefore, more research is needed to assess additional factors that may increase the risk of developing PFP.

Conclusion

The present research indicates that PFP is common in our study population, especially in adolescent and young adult females. Regardless gender, being overweight have been shown to be correlated with high prevalence of PFP. Probably because of its multifactorial etiology, the PFP was not associated with gender and BMI. Although, age was a significant predictor for PFP. Moreover, because of the high incidence of PFP, prevention should be considered. Therefore, more researches are required for further investigation of the factors linked to the prevalence of PFP.

Conflict of interest:

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Deanship of Scientific Research at Majmaah University for supporting this work under Project.

References:

1. Boling M, Padua D, Marshall S, et al. Gender differences in the incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Scand J Med Sci*

Sports 2010; 20: 725-730. 2009/09/22. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00996.x.

2. Wood L, Muller S and Peat G. The epidemiology of patellofemoral disorders in adulthood: a review of routine general practice morbidity recording. *Prim Health Care Res Dev* 2011; 12: 157-164. 2011/04/05. DOI: 10.1017/S1463423610000460.
3. Al-Hakim W, Jaiswal PK, Khan W, et al. Suppl 2: the non-operative treatment of anterior knee pain. *The open orthopaedics journal* 2012; 6: 320.
4. Cirkel J, Klaassen W, Kunst J, et al. NHG-Standaard Niet-traumatische knieproblemen bij kinderen en adolescenten. *NHG-Standaarden 2009*. Springer, 2009, pp.1145-1.153
5. Dye SF. The pathophysiology of patellofemoral pain: a tissue homeostasis perspective. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2005; 436: 100-110. 2005/07/05. DOI: 10.1097/01.blo.0000172303.74414.7d.
6. Thomas MJ, Wood L, Selfe J, et al. Anterior knee pain in younger adults as a precursor to subsequent patellofemoral osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2010; 11: 201.
7. Alrayani H. *Understanding the biomechanical risk factors of patellofemoral pain (PFP) in military individuals*. University of Salford, 2018.
8. Fulkerson JP and Arendt EA. Anterior knee pain in females. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000; 372: 69-73. 2000/03/30. DOI: 10.1097/00003086-200003000-00009.
9. Nascimento LR, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Souza RB, et al. Hip and knee strengthening is more effective than knee strengthening alone for reducing pain and improving activity in individuals with patellofemoral pain: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *journal of*

- orthopaedic & sports physical therapy* 2018; 48: 19-31.
10. Emami MJ, Ghahramani MH, Abdinejad F, et al. Q-angle: an invaluable parameter for evaluation of anterior knee pain. *Arch Iran Med* 2007; 10: 24-26. 2007/01/03. DOI: 07101/AIM.007.
 11. Chester R, Smith TO, Sweeting D, et al. The relative timing of VMO and VL in the aetiology of anterior knee pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2008; 9: 64. 2008/05/03. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-9-64.
 12. Fairbank J, Pynsent P, van Poortvliet JA, et al. Mechanical factors in the incidence of knee pain in adolescents and young adults. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery British volume* 1984; 66: 685-693.
 13. Oakes JL, McCandless P and Selfe J. Exploration of the current evidence base for the incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Physical Therapy Reviews* 2009; 14: 382-387.
 14. Rathleff MS, Skuldbøl SK, Rasch MN, et al. Care-seeking behaviour of adolescents with knee pain: a population-based study among 504 adolescents. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2013; 14: 225.
 15. 15. Callaghan MJ and Selfe J. Has the incidence or prevalence of patellofemoral pain in the general population in the United Kingdom been properly evaluated? *Physical therapy in Sport* 2007; 8: 37-43.
 16. Clarsen B, Krosshaug T and Bahr R. Overuse injuries in professional road cyclists. *The American journal of sports medicine* 2010; 38: 2494-2501.
 17. Nejati P, Forogh B, Moeineddin R, et al. Patellofemoral pain syndrome in Iranian female athletes. *Acta Medica Iranica* 2011; 49: 169-172.
 18. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen J, et al. Exercise during school hours when added to patient education improves outcome for 2 years in adolescent patellofemoral pain: a cluster randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2015; 49: 406-412.
 19. Crossley K, Bennell K, Green S, et al. Physical therapy for patellofemoral pain: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2002; 30: 857-865. 2002/11/19. DOI: 10.1177/03635465020300061701.
 20. Smith BE, Selfe J, Thacker D, et al. Incidence and prevalence of patellofemoral pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2018; 13: e0190892. 2018/01/13. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190892.
 21. Xu X, Yao C, Wu R, et al. Prevalence of patellofemoral pain and knee pain in the general population of Chinese young adults: a community-based questionnaire survey. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2018; 19: 165. 2018/05/26. DOI: 10.1186/s12891-018-2083-x.
 22. Dey P, Callaghan M, Cook N, et al. A questionnaire to identify patellofemoral pain in the community: an exploration of measurement properties. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016; 17: 237. 2016/06/02. DOI: 10.1186/s12891-016-1097-5.
 23. Glaviano NR, Kew M, Hart JM, et al. Demographic and Epidemiological Trends in Patellofemoral Pain. *Int J Sports Phys Ther* 2015; 10: 281-290. 2015/06/16.
 24. Althunyan AK, Darwish MA and Wahab MMA. Knee problems and its associated factors among active cyclists in Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of family & community medicine* 2017; 24: 23.

25. Rothermich MA, Glaviano NR, Li J, et al. Patellofemoral pain: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and treatment options. *Clin Sports Med* 2015; 34: 313-327. 2015/03/31. DOI: 10.1016/j.csm.2014.12.011.
26. Witvrouw E, Callaghan MJ, Stefanik JJ, et al. Patellofemoral pain: consensus statement from the 3rd International Patellofemoral Pain Research Retreat held in Vancouver, September 2013. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48: 411-414. 2014/02/27. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2014-093450.
27. Verbrugge LM. Gender and health: an update on hypotheses and evidence. *J Health Soc Behav* 1985; 26: 156-182. 1985/0.01/9
28. Arrebola LS, Carvalho RTd, Lima VCdO, et al. Influence of body mass index on patellofemoral pain. *Fisioterapia em Movimento* 2020; 33.
29. Fok LA, Schache AG, Crossley KM, et al. Patellofemoral joint loading during stair ambulation in people with patellofemoral osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013; 65: 2059-2069. 2013/06/07. DOI: 10.1002/art.38025.
30. Hart HF, Barton CJ, Khan KM, et al. Is body mass index associated with patellofemoral pain and patellofemoral osteoarthritis? A systematic review and meta-regression and analysis. *British journal of sports medicine* 2017; 51: 781-790.
31. Davis IS and Powers C. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: proximal, distal, and local factors—international research retreat, April 30–may 2, 2009, Baltimore, Maryland. *journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy* 2010; 40: A1-A48.
32. Thomee R, Augustsson J and Karlsson J. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: a review of current issues. *Sports Med* 1999; 28: 245-262. 1999/11/24. DOI: 10.2165/00007256-199928040-00003.
